

# INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Quarterly Report to The Indiana State Budget Committee and The Indiana Legislative Council

> Submitted by: James W. Payne, Director For the quarter ended December 31, 2007



Protecting our children, families and future

Pursuant to IC 31-33-1.5, once every three months, the Department of Child Services is required to submit a report to the Budget Committee and the Legislative Council that provides data and statistical information regarding caseloads of child protection workers. This report details:

- 1. The department's progress in recruiting, training and retaining caseworkers
- 2. The methodology used to compute caseloads for each child protection worker
- 3. The statewide average caseloads for child protection caseworkers and whether they exceed the standards established by the department
- 4. A written plan that indicates steps that are being taken to reduce caseloads if the report indicates that average caseloads exceed caseload standards
- 5. Recommendations for best management practices and resources required to achieve effective and efficient delivery of child protection services

### 1. Recruitment, Training and Retention of Family Case Managers

In order to reach the goal of adding 400 new family case managers (FCMs) and 75 new supervisors in SFY 2008, DCS continues to look at personnel and training needs along with capacity. DCS currently has an embedded Human Resources Manager, plus four other staff positions in State Personnel to support the agency. With these resources, the recruitment and hiring process is operating smoothly.

A timeline was established to outline the steps beginning with identifying counties in need of staff and ending with the FCMs first day of work. The process takes a minimum of eleven weeks and requires interviewing a minimum of seven applicants for each position available. Recruiting and interviewing is done locally; the process is managed by Central Office and is detailed in Exhibit 1. Whenever possible, more lead time is added to allow for more flexibility.

DCS determines the optimum hiring schedule on a rolling basis—at least eleven weeks before the start date. The first class began July 5, 2005 and a new class was added nearly every two weeks for a total of 21 classes during SFY 2006, 20 classes during SFY 2007 and 14 during the first half of SFY 2008. In each new bi-weekly class, slots were created for both new hires and vacancy fills, depending on need. Groups ranged in size from 15 to 30. The location of the training cohort was regionally based and corresponded with where the trainees would eventually be stationed.



Protecting our children, families and future

The training course itself was revised based upon the feedback of graduates. For the first eleven months of fiscal year 2006, training took place over a twelve week period. Four of the twelve weeks took place in Indianapolis and the other eight were set in one of the regional training centers. In May 2006, the course was reduced to nine weeks of work in a classroom with transfer of learning days occurring in the county offices. The following three weeks consist of on-the-job training.

Based on Practice Reform Skills adopted throughout the agency in 2007, new worker training was revised again to incorporate some of those skills in this initial training experience. Also in 2007, a Field Mentor Program was implemented which matches a trainee with an experienced, trained, Family Case Manager in their County to work one-on-one with them during their transfer of learning days. In collaboration with the Dr. Anita Barbee from the University of Kentucky, comprehensive Skill Assessment Scales were also developed to assist the Field Mentor with providing feedback to the trainee based on established, research-based competencies. This feedback provided a framework for developing additional training assistance if needed, and reinforced clinically-proven best practice in the field.

Since July 1, 2005, the Department of Child Services (DCS) has increased the total number of FCM positions by 569, from 842 to 1,411. The number of filled FCM positions increased from 708 as of July 1, 2005 to 1,313 on December 31, 2007, representing an increase of 605 people. The chart below summarizes the increases.

Data as of:	7/01/2005	6/30/2006	12/31/2007	Gain/ (Loss)
# of FCM 2 & 3 positions	842	1,017	1,411	569
# of filled FCM positions	708	1,012	1,313	605
# of FCM vacancies	134	5	98	(36)

During SFY 2006, SFY 2007 and the first half of SFY 2008, 1,090 Family Case Mangers were hired. Five hundred and thirty eight of the 1,090 are new positions that have been allocated since July 1, 2005. The remaining 552 FCMs were hired to fill vacancies due to terminations, resignations, promotions, retirements and transfers to different agencies. Overall, 435 FCMs have left state employment vis-à-vis termination, resignation or retirement during this time period. Of these, 223 were employed for two and a half years or less. The chart below indicates the time frame in which these 223 left state employment.



Protecting our children, families and future

Time Frame	Number	Percent
First 3 months	44	20%
4 - 6 months	43	19%
7 - 9 months	42	19%
10 - 12 months	41	18%
13 - 15 months	13	6%
16 - 18 months	15	7%
19 - 21 months	13	6%
22 - 24 months	7	3%
25 - 27 months	5	2%
28 - 30 months	0	0%

DCS has developed a voluntary exit interview survey for all employees who leave the Department. We have gathered 178 responses since mid-April of SFY 2007; the majority of which are from Family Case Managers. Eighty of the 178 employees that responded are leaving for a better job opportunity. Of those, 29 left for a better rate of pay, followed by 24 who left due to supervisory issues, and another 21 who left for a different type of work. The data and commentary show that while employees generally felt that they were aware of the agency's direction and vision, their consensus was that they were not recognized when they did a good job. They also expressed a concern that their supervisors were not open to suggestions and were not able to provide them with constructive feedback. Most of these concerns should be addressed with the implementation of Practice Reform which will assist in providing the skills to improve communication, feedback, and teamwork at all levels.

#### 2. Caseload data

On a monthly basis, DCS gathers information to determine which counties are in the greatest need of staff. The information is gathered from Indiana's automated child welfare reporting system (ICWIS) and the Human Resource Department. ICWIS provides information on the number of new investigations opened each month and the number of children served by the county. County directors confirm staffing levels, including total staff, staff in training, and staff unavailable for any reason. This information is loaded into a spreadsheet. DCS is converting to the use of PeopleSoft-generated numbers rather than self-reported numbers, as inconsistencies have been found in the self-reported numbers.

The 12/17 standard represents that of the Child Welfare League of America and is the requirement established by legislation that DCS must meet by July 1, 2008, which is 12 new investigations per month or 17 on-going children.



Protecting our children, families and future

Exhibit 2 shows the number of FCMs needed to reach 12 investigations OR 17 on-going children. Please note that these numbers are cyclical and vary from month to month.

The issue of caseload data must include the current national discussion regarding caseload definitions. As currently set out in statute, DCS must comply with standards that include 12 new investigations per month or 17 ongoing children being supervised by a case manager at any one time. Those definitions are clear in large to medium counties where the caseloads allow those divisions to be clearly defined. In smaller counties, however, the issue of mixed caseloads is more difficult to determine, in large part because ongoing caseloads of 17 are fairly static while new investigation caseloads are fluid, changing day to day, week to week. We will continue to work with national leaders and organizations as these discussions bring more mathematical certainty to those designations.

Additionally, there is tremendous national dialogue on the issue of defining caseload versus workload. The distinction is related to the number of cases a casework manager will have versus the work necessary to adequately and appropriately provide that work – leading to safety, permanency, and well-being for children and families. This becomes particularly more difficult as we add to or significantly change the workload requirements for case managers either by statute or by policy. One example of this is the recent requirement for more extensive criminal background checks, specifically referring to the time and complexity involved for a case manager to obtain those background checks.

Finally, the issue of caseload reduction will be impacted greatly as DCS implements its philosophy of practice in safety for children remaining at home, implementing a practice of engaging families through team participation, and more accurate assessment of initial care and ongoing treatment. Over time, it is anticipated that these matters will be effective in reducing the degree and intensity of involvement and various stages through the process.

### 3. Percentage of caseloads in compliance with standards.

Analysis of Exhibit 2 indicates that, as of December, 2007, 20.6% or 19 counties meet the 12/17 standard.

It should be noted that these numbers are based on peak caseloads. It is possible that any individual FCM will be carrying a caseload in excess of benchmark. However, as additional FCMs are hired and trained, and existing FCMs are retained on the job, peak



Protecting our children, families and future

should better reflect actuals. Moreover, as additional FCMs are hired, based on allowances set in the biennium budget, caseloads should decline and approach acceptable levels.

#### 4. Plans to reduce caseloads

DCS will continue with the plan to hire 400 more case managers for SFY 2008 as funded by the General Assembly in addition to 75 supervisors. Monthly, the caseload averages will be calculated and analyzed.

### 5. Effective and Efficient Delivery of Child Protection Services

Beginning December 2005, DCS embarked upon a comprehensive Practice Reform initiative. It is a grass roots initiative that will teach Family Case Managers how to engage and team with families in ways the department has never done -- ensuring the underlying needs of the family to be identified and addressed. We believe this approach will have significant long-term impact on positive outcomes for children and families, leading to shorter lengths of stay, faster reunification or permanence and will ultimately reduce case loads.

Although many positive steps occurred to facilitate the effective and professional delivery of child protection services, many challenges remain. They include:

- Continuing to hire new FCMs to reach legislated caseloads
- Ensuring proper support of FCMs through sufficient supervision
- Maintaining sufficient support staff for supervisors and FCMs in local offices
- Retaining sufficient legal staff to support legal needs of local offices
- Preserving sufficient administrative staff to support county operations
- Increasing Central Office staff to sufficiently support financial, policy, training, programs, and quality assurance

As mentioned previously, DCS will continue to hire FCMs and supervisors throughout SFY 2008 as provided for in the budget. All required legal staff should be in place by the end of SFY 2008. Local contract attorney positions have been and continue to be converted into state staff attorneys. Under this arrangement, legal counsel for the department is more comprehensive and congruent as FCMs and lawyers work together in the same office to prepare cases.



Protecting our children, families and future

Much research has gone into the analysis of Central Office functions and the needs for adequately supporting the work in the field. Organizational structures and ratios in other states were reviewed by the Annie E. Casey Foundation's Strategic Consulting Group. Based on their input and DCS executives' assessments, a proposal for additional staff was submitted to and approved by the State Budget Agency for SFY 2007. An analysis of the need for additional staff in the next biennium showed they are critical in supporting the work of the 1,313 FCMs who are direct service providers.



Protecting our children, families and future

# **Cohort Hiring Status Report SFY 06**

	Cohort #	Identify County	Training Location chosen	Post	Recruit	Applications evaluated and routed	Interview Complete	Position Offered	Position Accepted	Copy of offer/ information letter/release for background check to Central Office	Background Check Begun	Packet Sent	Hotel Confirmation	Start Date	Graduation Date
Responsibility		Stephanie Beasley	Stephanie Beasley	Yonda Snyder, SPD	HR, Regional Managers, County Directors	Yonda Snyder, SPD	Regional Managers, County Directors	Regional Managers, County Directors	Applicant	Regional Managers, County Directors	Yonda Snyder, SPD	Regional Managers, County Directors	Peggy Farrar	Employee	Employee
Time Frame		Day One	Day One	Day One	Day 14	Day 21	Day 28	Day 35	Day 42	Day 44	Day 45	Day 46	Day 49	Day 56	Day 140
	1		Marion County	11-May	24-May	31-May	7-Jun	14-Jun	21-Jun	23-Jun	24-Jun	25-Jun	28-Jun	5-Jul	27-Sep
	3		Marion County	24-May	6-Jun	13-Jun 27-Jun	20-Jun	27-Jun	4-Jul	6-Jul	7-Jul	8-Jul	11-Jul	18-Jul	10-Oct
	4	VOID	Marion County  VOID	7-Jun <i>VOID</i>	20-Jun <i>VOID</i>	VOID	4-Jul VOID	11-Jul VOID	18-Jul VOID	20-Jul VOID	21-Jul <i>VOID</i>	22-Jul VOID	25-Jul VOID	1-Aug <i>VOID</i>	24-Oct <b>VOID</b>
	5		Marion County	13-Jul	26-Jul	2-Aug	9-Aug	16-Aug	23-Aug	25-Aug	26-Aug	27-Aug	30-Aug	6-Sep	29-Nov
	6		Fort Wayne	23-Jul	5-Aug	12-Aug	19-Aug	26-Aug	2-Sep	4-Sep	5-Sep	6-Sep	9-Sep	16-Sep	9-Dec
	7		Scottsburg	9-Aug	22-Aug	29-Aug	5-Sep	12-Sep	19-Sep	21-Sep	22-Sep	23-Sep	26-Sep	3-Oct	26-Dec
	8		Vincennes	23-Aug	5-Sep	12-Sep	19-Sep	26-Sep	3-Oct	5-Oct	6-Oct	7-Oct	10-Oct	17-Oct	9-Jan
	9		Indianapolis	20-Sep	3-Oct	10-Oct	17-Oct	24-Oct	31-Oct	2-Nov	3-Nov	4-Nov	7-Nov	14-Nov	6-Feb
	10	4-Oct	Michigan City	4-Oct	17-Oct	24-Oct	31-Oct	7-Nov	14-Nov	16-Nov	17-Nov	18-Nov	21-Nov	28-Nov	20-Feb
	11	18-Oct	Indianapolis	18-Oct	31-Oct	7-Nov	14-Nov	21-Nov	28-Nov	30-Nov	1-Dec	2-Dec	5-Dec	12-Dec	6-Mar
	12	15-Nov	Scottsburg	15-Nov	28-Nov	5-Dec	12-Dec	19-Dec	26-Dec	28-Dec	29-Dec	30-Dec	2-Jan	9-Jan	3-Apr
	13		Indianapolis	29-Nov	12-Dec	19-Dec	26-Dec	2-Jan	9-Jan	11-Jan	12-Jan	13-Jan	16-Jan	23-Jan	17-Apr
	14	13-Dec	Indianapolis	13-Dec	26-Dec	2-Jan	9-Jan	16-Jan	23-Jan	25-Jan	26-Jan	27-Jan	<i>30-Jan</i>	6-Feb	1-May
	15		Indianapolis	27-Dec	9-Jan	16-Jan	23-Jan	30-Jan	6-Feb	8-Feb	9-Feb	10-Feb	13-Feb	20-Feb	15-May
	16		Michigan City	10-Jan	23-Jan	30-Jan	6-Feb	13-Feb	20-Feb	22-Feb	23-Feb	24-Feb	27-Feb	6-Mar	29-May
	17		Marion	24-Jan	6-Feb	13-Feb	20-Feb	27-Feb	6-Mar	8-Mar	9-Mar	10-Mar	13-Mar	20-Mar	12-Jun
	18		Marion County	7-Feb	20-Feb	27-Feb	6-Mar	13-Mar	20-Mar	22-Mar	23-Mar	24-Mar	27-Mar	3-Apr	26-Jun
	19		Scottsburg	21-Jan	3-Feb	10-Feb	17-Feb	24-Feb	3-Mar	5-Mar	6-Mar	7-Mar	10-Mar	17-Mar	9-Jun
	20		Fort Wayne	7-Mar	20-Mar	27-Mar	3-Apr	10-Apr	17-Apr		20-Apr		24-Apr	1-May	24-Jul
	21	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID			-	VOID	VOID	VOID		VOID		VOID
	22		Vincennes	11-Apr	24-Apr	1-May	8-May	15-May	22-May	24-May	25-May	26-May	29-May	5-Jun	28-Aug
	23	25-Apr	Marion County	25-Apr	8-May	15-May	22-May	29-May	5-Jun	7-Jun	8-Jun	9-Jun	<i>12-Jun</i>	19-Jun	11-Sep

# Cohort Hiring Timeline SFY 07

Interview

**Position** 

Position

Send Signed

Applicant Release

15-Nov

29-Nov

10-Jan

24-Jan

7-Feb

7-Mar

4-Apr

2-May

30-May

21-Mar

Hotel

Confirmation

Graduation

19-Feb

5-Mar

16-Apr

30-Apr

14-Mav

11-Jun

25-Jun

9-Jul

6-Aug

3-Sep

27-Nov

11-Dec

22-Jan

5-Feb

19-Feb

19-Mar

16-Apr

14-May

11-Jun

2-Apr

Start Date

Offer Letter

17-Nov

1-Dec

12-Jan

26-Jan

9-Feb

9-Mar

6-Apr

4-May

1-Jun

23-Mar

20-Nov

4-Dec

15-Jan

29-Jan

12-Feb

12-Mar

26-Mar

9-Apr

7-May

4-Jun

Applications

evaluated

## Exhibit 1

Cohort #

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Identify

3-Oct-06 Vincennes

12-Dec-06 Fort Wayne

23-Jan-07 \*Skipped\*

20-Feb-07 Scottsburg

20-Mar-07 \*Skipped\*

17-Oct-06 Michigan City

28-Nov-06 Marion County

26-Dec-06 Marion County

6-Feb-07 Marion County

17-Apr-07 Marion County

Training Location

Post

N/A

Post

3-Oct

17-Oct

28-Nov

12-Dec

26-Dec

23-Jan

6-Feb

20-Feb

20-Mar

17-Apr

16-Oct

30-Oct

11-Dec

25-Dec

8-Jan

5-Feb

19-Feb

5-Mar

2-Apr

30-Apr

23-Oct

6-Nov

1-Jan

15-Jan

12-Feb

26-Feb

12-Mar

9-Apr

7-May

18-Dec

Recruit

Internally Externally Complete\* Offered\* Accepted County chosen Sent Date and routed form to HR Complete HR, Regional Regional Regional Regional HR/ Managers Managers Stephanie Responsibility Stephanie Beasley HR/SPD Managers, SPD Applicant Managers, HR/SPD Peggy Farrar **Employee Employee** Beasley SPD County County **County Directors** County Recruiters **Directors Directors** Directors Time Frame Day One Day One Day One Day 22 Day 35 Day 42 Day 49 Day 56 Day 63 Day 65 Day 67 Day 70 Day 77 Day 161 16-May-06 Fort Wayne N/A 16-May 29-May 12-Jun 19-Jun 26-Jun 28-Jun 30-Jun 10-Ju 24 5-Jun 3-Jul 2-Oct 25 30-May-06 Michigan City N/A 30-May 12-Jun 19-Jun 26-Jun 3-Jul 10-Jul 12-Jul 14-Jul 17-Jul 24-Jul 16-Oct N/A 26 13-Jun-06 Muncie 13-Jun 26-Jun 3-Jul 10-Jul 17-Jul 24-Jul 26-Jul 28-Jul 31-Jul 7-Aug 30-Oct 27 N/A 31-Jul 7-Aua 14-Aua 13-Nov 27-Jun-06 Scottsburg 27-Jun 10-Jul 17-Jul 24-Jul 9-Aua 11-Aua 21-Aua 28 12-Jul-06 Michigan City N/A 12-Ju 25-Jul 1-Aug 8-Aug 15-Aug 22-Aug 24-Aug 26-Aug 29-Aug 5-Sep 28-Nov 29 25-Jul-06 Marion County N/A 25-Jul 7-Aug 14-Aug 21-Aug 28-Aug 4-Sep 8-Sep 11-Sep 18-Sep 11-Dec 6-Sep 30 8-Aug-06 Indianapolis N/A 8-Aug 21-Aug 28-Aug 4-Sep 11-Sep 18-Sep 20-Sep 22-Sep 25-Sep 2-Oct 25-Dec 22-Aug-06 Vincennes N/A 2-Oct 9-Oct 31 22-Aua 4-Sep 11-Sep 18-Sep 25-Sep 4-Oct 6-Oct 16-Oct 8-Jan 32 5-Sep-06 Fort Wayne N/A 18-Sep 25-Sep 9-Oct 18-Oct 20-Oct 23-Oct 30-Oct 22-Jan 5-Sep 2-Oct 16-Oct 33 19-Sep-06 Muncie N/A 19-Sep 2-Oct 9-Oct 16-Oct 23-Oct 30-Oct 1-Nov 3-Nov 6-Nov 13-Nov 5-Feb

30-Oct

13-Nov

25-Dec

22-Jan

19-Feb

5-Mar

19-Mar

16-Apr

14-May

8-Jan

6-Nov

20-Nov

1-Jan

15-Jan

29-Jan

26-Feb

12-Mar

26-Mar

23-Apr

21-May

13-Nov

27-Nov

8-Jan

22-Jan

5-Feb

5-Mar

2-Apr

30-Apr

28-May

19-Mar

Exhibit 1 for 123107.xls; SFY 07 Page 1 of 1 Updated: 1/30/2008; 12:16 PM

# **Exhibit 1**

_								
	Cohort #	Identify County	Training Location chosen	Post Internally	Post Externally	Recruit	Applications evaluated and routed	Interview Complete*
Responsibility		Stephanie Beasley	Stephanie Beasley	HR/SPD	HR/ SPD	HR, Regional Managers, County Directors	SPD Recruiters	Regional Managers, County Directors
Time Frame		Day One	Day One	Day One	Day 22	Day 35	Day 42	Day 49
	44	15-May-07	Indianapolis	24-Apr-07	15-May	28-May	4-Jun	11-Jun
	45	29-May-07	Michigan Cit	8-May-07	29-May	11-Jun	18-Jun	25-Jun
	46	12-Jun-07	Indianapolis	22-May-07	12-Jun	25-Jun	2-Jul	9-Jul
	47		Fort Wayne	5-Jun-07	26-Jun	9-Jul	16-Jul	23-Jul
	48	11-Jul-07	Michigan Cit	20-Jun-07	11-Jul	24-Jul	31-Jul	7-Aug
	49	24-Jul-07	Indianapolis	3-Jul-07	24-Jul	6-Aug	13-Aug	20-Aug
	50	7-Aug-07	Vincennes	17-Jul-07	7-Aug	20-Aug	27-Aug	3-Sep
	51	21-Aug-07	Indianapolis	31-Jul-07	21-Aug	3-Sep	10-Sep	17-Sep
	52	4-Sep-07	Scottsburg	14-Aug-07	4-Sep	17-Sep	24-Sep	1-Oct
	53	19-Sep-07	Scottsburg	29-Aug-07	19-Sep	2-Oct	9-Oct	16-Oct
	54	25-Sep-07	Indianapolis	4-Sep-07	25-Sep	8-Oct	15-Oct	22-Oct
	55	2-Oct-07	Muncie	11-Sep-07	2-Oct	15-Oct	22-Oct	29-Oct
	56	9-Oct-07	Fort Wayne	18-Sep-07	9-Oct	22-Oct	29-Oct	5-Nov
	57	16-Oct-07	Indianapolis	25-Sep-07	16-Oct	29-Oct	5-Nov	12-Nov
	58	13-Nov-07	Michigan City	23-Oct-07	13-Nov	26-Nov	3-Dec	10-Dec
	59	20-Nov-07	Muncie	30-Oct-07	20-Nov	3-Dec	10-Dec	17-Dec
	60	27-Nov-07	Vincennes	6-Nov-07	27-Nov	10-Dec	17-Dec	24-Dec
	61	4-Dec-07	Indianapolis	13-Nov-07	4-Dec	17-Dec	24-Dec	31-Dec
	62	11-Dec-07	Michigan Cit	20-Nov-07	11-Dec	24-Dec	31-Dec	7-Jan
	63	18-Dec-07	Scottsburg	27-Nov-07	18-Dec	31-Dec	7-Jan	14-Jan
	64	25-Dec-07	Indianapolis	4-Dec-07	25-Dec	7-Jan	14-Jan	21-Jan
	65	1-Jan-08	Michigan Cit	11-Dec-07	1-Jan	14-Jan	21-Jan	28-Jan
	66	8-Jan-08	Fort Wayne	18-Dec-07	8-Jan	21-Jan	28-Jan	4-Feb
	67	15-Jan-08	Vincennes	25-Dec-07	15-Jan	28-Jan	4-Feb	11-Feb
	68	22-Jan-08	Michigan Cit	1-Jan-08	22-Jan	4-Feb	11-Feb	18-Feb
	69	29-Jan-08	Fort Wayne	8-Jan-08	29-Jan	11-Feb	18-Feb	25-Feb
	70	5-Feb-08	Indianapolis	15-Jan-08	5-Feb	18-Feb	25-Feb	3-Mar
		#######			######	######	#######	#######

Position Offered*	Position Accepted	Send Signed Applicant Release form to HR	Offer Letter Sent	Hotel Confirmation Complete	Start Date	Graduation Date
Regional Managers, County Directors	Applicant	Regional Managers, County Directors	HR/SPD	Peggy Farrar	Employee	Employee
Day 56	Day 63	Day 65	Day 67	Day 70	Day 77	Day 161
18-Jun	25-Jun	27-Jun	29-Jun	2-Jul	9-Jul	1-Oct
2-Jul	9-Jul	11-Jul	13-Jul	16-Jul	23-Jul	15-Oct
16-Jul	23-Jul	25-Jul	27-Jul	30-Jul	6-Aug	29-Oct
30-Jul	6-Aug	8-Aug	10-Aug	13-Aug	20-Aug	12-Nov
14-Aug	21-Aug	23-Aug	25-Aug	28-Aug	4-Sep	27-Nov
27-Aug	3-Sep	5-Sep	7-Sep	10-Sep	17-Sep	10-Dec
10-Sep	17-Sep	19-Sep	21-Sep	24-Sep	1-Oct	24-Dec
24-Sep	1-Oct	3-Oct	5-Oct	8-Oct	15-Oct	7-Jan
8-Oct	15-Oct	17-Oct	19-Oct	22-Oct	29-Oct	21-Jan
23-Oct	30-Oct	1-Nov	3-Nov	6-Nov	13-Nov	5-Feb
29-Oct	5-Nov	7-Nov	9-Nov	12-Nov	19-Nov	11-Feb
5-Nov	12-Nov	14-Nov	16-Nov	19-Nov	26-Nov	18-Feb
12-Nov	19-Nov	21-Nov	23-Nov	26-Nov	3-Dec	25-Feb
19-Nov	26-Nov	28-Nov	30-Nov	3-Dec	10-Dec	3-Mar
17-Dec	24-Dec	26-Dec	28-Dec	31-Dec	7-Jan	31-Mar
24-Dec	31-Dec	2-Jan	4-Jan	7-Jan	14-Jan	7-Apr
31-Dec	7-Jan	9-Jan	11-Jan	14-Jan	21-Jan	14-Apr
7-Jan	14-Jan	16-Jan	18-Jan	21-Jan	28-Jan	21-Apr
14-Jan	21-Jan	23-Jan	25-Jan	28-Jan	4-Feb	28-Apr
21-Jan	28-Jan	30-Jan	1-Feb	4-Feb	11-Feb	5-May
28-Jan 4-Feb	4-Feb 11-Feb	6-Feb 13-Feb	8-Feb 15-Feb	11-Feb	18-Feb 25-Feb	12-May
				18-Feb		19-May
11-Feb 18-Feb	18-Feb 25-Feb	20-Feb 27-Feb	22-Feb 29-Feb	25-Feb 3-Mar	3-Mar	26-May
25-Feb	3-Mar	5-Mar	29-Feb 7-Mar	3-Mar	10-Mar 17-Mar	2-Jun 9-Jun
3-Mar	10-Mar	12-Mar	14-Mar	10-iviai 17-Mar	24-Mar	16-Jun
10-Mar	17-Mar	12-Mar	21-Mar	24-Mar	31-Mar	23-Jun
#######	#######	#######	#######	#######	J I Mai	24-Mar

# Exhibit 2

Region	County	12/17 FCM Projected Need	Current PCN's	12/17 PCN Need	PCN % Attained	Current FCM's	Current Vacancies	12/17 FCM Need	FCM % Attained
4	Adams	5	4	1	81%	3	1	2	61%
4	Allen	105	87	18	83%	79	8	26	75%
14	Bartholomew	20	18	2	89%	16	2	4	79%
5	Benton	3	3	0	89%	3	0	0	89%
7	Blackford	3	3	0	92%	3	0	0	92%
9	Boone	8	6	2	73%	6	0	2	73%
13	Brown	3	2	1	74%	2	0	1	74%
5	Carroll	2	2	0	102%	2	0	0	102%
6	Cass	6	5	1	79%	5	0	1	79%
18	Clark	29	28	1	98%	26	2	3	91%
8	Clay	4	3	1	82%	3	0	1	82%
5	Clinton	8	7	1	93%	6	1	2	80%
17	Crawford	6	7	-1	116%	7	0	-1	116%
17	Daviess	6	5	1	81%	4	1	2	65%
15	Dearborn	11	10	1	88%	8	2	3	70%
15	Decatur	11	8	3	74%	7	1	4	65%
4	DeKalb	13	10	3	80%	8	2	5	64%
7	Delaware	38	39	-1	102%	39	0	-1	102%
17	Dubois	5	5	0	109%	5	0	0	109%
3	Elkhart	43	34	9	79%	31	3	12	72%
12	Fayette	9	9	0	101%	8	1	1	90%
18	Floyd	13	11	2	84%	11	0	2	84%
5	Fountain	6	4	2	70%	4	0	2	70%
12	Franklin	7	5	2	74%	5	0	2	74%
6	Fulton	4	4	0	94%	4	0	0	94%
16	Gibson	11	8	3	73%	7	1	4	64%
7	Grant	17	17	0	97%	17	0	0	97%
13	Greene	11	12	-1	109%	12	0	-1	109%
11	Hamilton	18	13	5	72%	13	0	5	72%
11	Hancock	8	7	1	84%	6	1	2	72%
18	Harrison	11	10	1	92%	10	0	1	92%
9	Hendricks	10	10	0	96%	9	1	1	86%

## Projected FCM Staffing Needs

16	Knox	17	10	7	61%	9	1	8	55%
3	Kosciusko	9	9	0	100%	9	0	0	100%
4	LaGrange	10	7	3	69%	7	0	3	69%
1	Lake	163	150	13	92%	133	17	30	82%
2	LaPorte	21	16	5	76%	16	0	5	76%
13	Lawrence	10	10	0	105%	10	0	0	105%
11	Madison	33	32	1	97%	30	2	3	91%
10	Marion	268	258	10	96%	230	28	38	86%
3	Marshall	11	9	2	84%	8	1	3	75%
17	Martin	2	2	0	97%	2	0	0	97%
6	Miami	9	8	1	85%	8	0	1	85%
13	Monroe	20	20	0	99%	19	1	1	94%
9	Montgomery	15	16	-1	104%	16	0	-1	104%
9	Morgan	12	11	1	93%	8	3	4	68%
2	Newton	5	3	2	67%	3	0	2	67%
4	Noble	10	7	3	68%	7	0	3	68%
15	Ohio	1	2	-1	136%	2	0	-1	136%
17	Orange	5	4	1	81%	4	0	1	81%
13	Owen	6	6	0	93%	6	0	0	93%
8	Parke	2	2	0	80%	2	0	0	80%
17	Perry	7	6	1	85%	6	0	1	85%
16	Pike	6	5	1	87%	5	0	1	87%
2	Porter	26	24	2	93%	22	2	4	85%
16	Posey	5	4	1	83%	4	0	1	83%
2	Pulaski	4	3	1	75%	3	0	1	75%
9	Putnam	9	10	-1	111%	9	1	0	100%
7	Randolph	5	6	-1	115%	6	0	-1	115%
15	Ripley	11	9	2	84%	9	0	2	84%
12	Rush	7	7	0	100%	7	0	0	100%
3	Saint Joe	66	52	14	79%	52	0	14	79%
18	Scott	14	14	0	99%	14	0	0	99%
14	Shelby	11	11	0	105%	9	2	2	86%
17	Spencer	3	4	-1	137%	4	0	-1	137%
2	Starke	8	5	3	66%	5	0	3	66%
4	Steuben	14	12	2	86%	11	1	3	79%
8	Sullivan	4	3	1	68%	3	0	1	68%
15	Switzerland	4	5	-1	118%	5	0	-1	118%

2

## Projected FCM Staffing Needs

18	Washington	7	7	0	107%	7	0	0	107%
12	Wayne	23	17	6	75%	15	2	8	66%
4	Wells	6	7	-1	123%	6	1	0	106%
5	White	6	4	2	65%	4	0	2	65%
4	Whitley	4	4	0	112%	3	1	1	84%
State W	ide Total	1580	1411	169	89%	1313	98	267	83%

3